

# **FISCAL NOTE**

## **HB 463 - SB 1065**

March 1, 2001

**SUMMARY OF BILL:** Specifies that in counties in which the county seat is not the principal city at the time of a proposed metropolitan charter, the urban services district may consist originally of the total area of the city that is the county seat and such other area, including the principal city, as may be recommended by the charter commission.

### **ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:**

**Increase Local Govt. Revenues - Not Significant**

**Increase Local Govt. Expenditures - Not Significant**

The impact depends on the level of services offered in the urban services district and the property tax rate adopted as they compare to the level of services offered and the tax rate in each city prior to the adoption of the metropolitan charter. The impact also depends on the number of counties having a principal city other than the county seat that choose to adopt a metropolitan charter.

To the extent additional services are offered at a higher tax rate to a larger population than would have been possible in the absence of the bill, this estimate assumes an increase in property tax revenues paid by those living in the urban services district and an increase in expenditures for the additional services provided to residents of the urban services district. The impact in any one county is estimated to be not significant.

### **CERTIFICATION:**

This is to duly certify that the information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.



James A. Davenport, Executive Director

**HB 463 - SB 1065**